

# S-Doping of an Fe/N/C ORR Catalyst for Polymer Electrolyte Membrane Fuel Cells with High Power Density\*\*

Yu-Cheng Wang, Yu-Jiao Lai, Lin Song, Zhi-You Zhou,\* Jian-Guo Liu, Qiang Wang, Xiao-Dong Yang, Chi Chen, Wei Shi, Yan-Ping Zheng, Muhammad Rauf, and Shi-Gang Sun\*

**Abstract:** Fe/N/C is a promising non-Pt electrocatalyst for the oxygen reduction reaction (ORR), but its catalytic activity is considerably inferior to that of Pt in acidic medium, the environment of polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cells (PEMFCs). An improved Fe/N/C catalyst (denoted as Fe/N/C-SCN) derived from Fe(SCN)<sub>3</sub>, poly-*m*-phenylenediamine, and carbon black is presented. The advantage of using Fe(SCN)<sub>3</sub> as iron source is that the obtained catalyst has a high level of S doping and high surface area, and thus exhibits excellent ORR activity (23 A g<sup>-1</sup> at 0.80 V) in 0.1 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution. When the Fe/N/C-SCN was applied in a PEMFC as cathode catalyst, the maximal power density could exceed 1 W cm<sup>-2</sup>.

The bottleneck of polymer electrolyte membrane fuel cells (PEMFCs) is high cost, since expensive Pt catalysts are necessary to drive both the cathodic oxygen reduction reaction (ORR) and anodic hydrogen oxidation.<sup>[1]</sup> The ORR is kinetically very slow, and requires much more Pt catalyst than hydrogen oxidation. Therefore, the exploration of non-Pt catalysts for the ORR has received great interest. Ternary Fe/N/C is generally considered as one of the most promising candidates.<sup>[2]</sup> The development of Fe/N/C catalyst can be traced back to the pioneering work of transition-metal macrocyclic compounds by Jasinski.<sup>[3]</sup> Currently, macrocyclic

compounds are unnecessary, because high-temperature pyrolysis of a mixture of iron salts, nitrogen-containing species, and carbon support can also yield such a catalyst even with better activity and stability.<sup>[2a,b,4]</sup> Fe/N/C catalysts can exhibit an ORR activity in both acidic and alkaline solutions. However, the former is more challenging and important in consideration of the strong acidic and corrosive environment of Nafion-based PEMFCs.<sup>[5]</sup>

Significant progress has been achieved in synthesis of highly active Fe/N/C catalyst and exploration of their applications in PEMFCs recently.<sup>[6]</sup> For example, Dodelet et al. found that ball-milling precursors and two-step pyrolysis in an Ar and NH<sub>3</sub> atmosphere can greatly boost the ORR activity of Fe/N/C catalyst, yielding a maximal power density ( $P_{\max}$ ) of 0.45 W cm<sup>-2</sup> in PEMFC test.<sup>[2a]</sup> They further improved the  $P_{\max}$  to 0.91 W cm<sup>-2</sup> at 1 bar back-pressure using a high-surface-area metal-organic framework as support.<sup>[7]</sup> Liu et al. reported the synthesis of polyporphyrin-based Fe/N/C catalysts with a  $P_{\max}$  of about 0.73 W cm<sup>-2</sup>.<sup>[8]</sup> Alternatively, Zelenay and co-workers reported an Fe/N/C derived from polyaniline, carbon black, and FeCl<sub>3</sub> through a procedure of pyrolysis, acid leaching, and secondary pyrolysis. The obtained Fe/N/C catalyst exhibited a high ORR activity (ca. 6.7 A g<sup>-1</sup> at 0.80 V) and an extremely low H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> yield (< 1%) in rotating ring-disk electrode (RRDE) test, and a  $P_{\max}$  of 0.55 W cm<sup>-2</sup> at 1.8 bar back-pressure in a PEMFC test.<sup>[2b]</sup> Nevertheless, both ORR activity and PEMFC performance of Fe/N/C catalysts still need further improvement for practical applications.

We previously prepared a highly active Fe/N/C catalyst (11.5 A g<sup>-1</sup> at 0.80 V) from nitrogen-abundant poly-*m*-phenylenediamine (PmPDA) and FeCl<sub>3</sub>,<sup>[9]</sup> according to the revised Zelenay method.<sup>[2b]</sup> The  $P_{\max}$  was 0.35 W cm<sup>-2</sup> without back-pressure in a preliminary fuel cell test. Herein we find that iron salts can also greatly influence the ORR activity of Fe/N/C, and the use of Fe(SCN)<sub>3</sub> instead of FeCl<sub>3</sub> can nearly double the ORR activity. The improvement may be correlated with S doping and high surface area. The  $P_{\max}$  of PEMFC using this improved catalyst reached 0.94 and 1.03 W cm<sup>-2</sup> at 1 and 2 bar back-pressure, respectively.

The synthesis of PmPDA-based catalyst was similar to that reported previously.<sup>[9]</sup> In brief, PmPDA was coated onto sulfophenyl-grafted carbon black through oxidative polymerization of *m*-PDA monomer by (NH<sub>4</sub>)<sub>2</sub>S<sub>2</sub>O<sub>8</sub>. After adding FeCl<sub>3</sub> or Fe(SCN)<sub>3</sub>, the precursor was subjected sequentially to pyrolysis at 950 °C under Ar atmosphere, acid leaching, and secondary pyrolysis. Catalysts prepared using FeCl<sub>3</sub> and Fe(SCN)<sub>3</sub> are denoted as Fe/N/C-Cl and Fe/N/C-SCN, respectively. High-resolution transmission electron microsc-

[\*] Y. C. Wang, Y. J. Lai, L. Song, Prof. Z. Y. Zhou, Dr. Q. Wang, Dr. X. D. Yang, C. Chen, W. Shi, Y. P. Zheng, M. Rauf, Prof. S. G. Sun  
State Key Laboratory of Physical Chemistry of Solid Surfaces  
Collaborative innovation center of Chemistry for Energy Materials  
College of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering  
Xiamen University, Xiamen, 361005 (China)  
E-mail: zhouzy@xmu.edu.cn  
sgsun@xmu.edu.cn

Prof. J. G. Liu  
Eco-materials and Renewable Energy Research Center  
Department of Materials Science and Engineering  
and National Laboratory of Solid State Microstructures  
Nanjing University, Nanjing 210093 (China)

C. Chen  
State Key Laboratory of Chemical Engineering  
College of Chemical Engineering  
East China University of Science and Technology  
Shanghai, 200237 (China)


[\*\*] This study was supported by grants from Major State Basic Research Development Program of China (2015CB932300), NSFC (21373175, 21321062 and 21361140374), Program for New Century Excellent Talents in University (NECT-11-0301). ORR = oxygen reduction reaction.

Supporting information for this article is available on the WWW under <http://dx.doi.org/10.1002/anie.201503159>.

py (HRTEM) measurements indicate that the Fe/N/C-SCN consists of carbon nanoparticles of 100–200 nm in size, and no crystalline metal-containing phases (for example, Fe, FeS, and Fe<sub>3</sub>C), which should display high contrast in TEM images, could be observed (Supporting Information, Figure S1).

Figure 1a compares ORR polarization curves of Fe/N/C-SCN, Fe/N/C-Cl, and commercial Pt/C (20 wt%; JM) catalysts in O<sub>2</sub>-saturated 0.1 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution. Catalyst loading was 0.6 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> for Fe/N/C catalysts and 0.1 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> (or 20 μg<sub>Pt</sub> cm<sup>-2</sup>) for Pt/C. The catalytic activity of Fe/N/C-SCN is considerably higher than that of Fe/N/C-Cl, as evidenced by higher (0.836 vs. 0.820 V) half-wave potential (*E*<sub>1/2</sub>). The *E*<sub>1/2</sub> of Fe/N/C-SCN was only 48 mV lower than that of Pt/C.


To quantitatively compare the intrinsic activity, kinetic current was calculated through Koutecky–Levich equation, and was then normalized with catalyst loading to obtain mass activity (*j*<sub>m</sub>). Figure 1b illustrates Tafel plots of the above three catalysts. All catalyst yielded a similar Tafel slope, that is, 66, 64, and 69 mV, for Fe/N/C-SCN, Fe/N/C-Cl, and Pt/C, respectively. The measured mass activities of Fe/N/C-SCN and Fe/N/C-Cl were 23.0 and 13.4 A g<sup>-1</sup> at 0.80 V (inset of



**Figure 1.** a) ORR polarization curves and H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> yield of Fe/N/C-SCN, Fe/N/C-Cl, and Pt/C in O<sub>2</sub>-saturated 0.1 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub> solution. Rotating speed: 900 rpm; scan rate: 10 mV s<sup>-1</sup>; Fe/N/C catalyst loading: 0.6 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>; Pt/C loading: 0.1 mg cm<sup>-2</sup> (or 20 μg<sub>Pt</sub> cm<sup>-2</sup>); 30 °C water bath. b) Tafel plot. Inset: comparison of kinetic current at 0.80 V between Fe/N/C-SCN and Fe/N/C-Cl.

Figure 1b), as well as 0.75 and 0.35 A g<sup>-1</sup> at 0.90 V, respectively. Clearly, the use of Fe(SCN)<sub>3</sub> instead of FeCl<sub>3</sub> as Fe source can improve significantly the ORR activity, although H<sub>2</sub>O<sub>2</sub> yield increased slightly (1.5% vs. 1.0% at 0.70 V, Figure 1a). Note that the catalytic activity of Fe/N/C-Cl is slightly higher than that reported previously,<sup>[9]</sup> since the amount of FeCl<sub>3</sub> was further optimized during the preparation of the catalyst in this study. As for Pt/C, mass activity at 0.90 V was 23 A g<sub>cat</sub><sup>-1</sup> (or 115 A g<sub>Pt</sub><sup>-1</sup>). Thus, the mass activity of Fe/N/C-SCN reached to about 1/30 that of Pt/C (20 wt%).

Furthermore, we carried out an H<sub>2</sub>–O<sub>2</sub> PEMFC test. Membrane electrode assemblies (MEAs) consisted of Fe/N/C (4.0 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>) as cathode catalyst for ORR, and Pt/C (0.4 mg<sub>Pt</sub> cm<sup>-2</sup>) as anode catalyst for H<sub>2</sub> oxidation. To enhance mass transfer, a back-pressure of 1 or 2 bar was applied (absolute pressure of H<sub>2</sub> or O<sub>2</sub> was about 1.5 or 2.5 bar, respectively, considering 0.47 bar of water saturation vapor pressure at 80 °C). Figure 2 illustrates the polarization curves



**Figure 2.** Polarization (left, filled symbols) and power density (right, open symbols) plots for H<sub>2</sub>–O<sub>2</sub> PEMFC with Fe/N/C-SCN and Fe/N/C-Cl as cathode catalysts at 80 °C. The back-pressure was 2 or 1 bar as indicated; flow rate: 0.3 slpm; MEA active area: 1.0 cm<sup>2</sup>; NRE 211 membrane; cathode catalyst loading: 4.0 mg cm<sup>-2</sup>; anode catalyst: Pt/C (40 wt%, JM) with 0.4 mg<sub>Pt</sub> cm<sup>-2</sup>.


and power-density plots of the PEMFC with Fe/N/C-SCN as cathode catalyst. At 2 bar back-pressure, *P*<sub>max</sub> could reach a value as high as 1.03 W cm<sup>-2</sup> at 0.41 V, and the maximal current density was 3.55 A cm<sup>-2</sup> at 0.22 V. Decreasing the back-pressure to 1 bar, *P*<sub>max</sub> was still 0.94 W cm<sup>-2</sup>. To the best of our knowledge, this is the highest *P*<sub>max</sub> reported so far for PEMFCs with Fe/N/C as cathode catalyst (Supporting Information, Table S1). The area-specific ohmic resistance of MEAs varied within 60–80 mΩ cm<sup>2</sup> during a fuel-cell test. After *iR* correction, Tafel plots (Supporting Information, Figure S2) were obtained. The Tafel slope based on fuel cell data is 80–84 mV, which was higher than the value of 66 mV based on RRDE data. This difference may be attributed to different test temperatures (80 °C vs. 30 °C) and electrolytes (Nafion ionomer vs. 0.1 M H<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>). The observed and *iR*-free current densities at 0.80 V were 0.25 and 0.38 A cm<sup>-2</sup>, respectively, at 2 bar back-pressure. For Fe/N/C-Cl, *P*<sub>max</sub> was only 0.81 W cm<sup>-2</sup> at 2 bar back-pressure, which was considerably lower than that of Fe/N/C-SCN. Note that the fuel cell

performance of Fe/N/C-Cl is significantly higher than that we reported previously,<sup>[9]</sup> as we have optimized the fabrication method for MEAs and improved the mass transfer greatly.

For comparison, we also tested the performance of a fuel cell using commercial Pt/C (0.2 mg Pt cm<sup>-2</sup>) as cathode catalyst at 1 bar back-pressure (Supporting Information, Figure S3). At a current density below 1 A cm<sup>-2</sup>, the performance of the fuel cell using Fe/N/C-SCN is close to that using Pt/C as cathode catalyst. However, at higher current density (> 1 A cm<sup>-2</sup>) where mass transfer overweighs electrochemical kinetics, the Pt/C exhibits a performance much better ( $P_{\text{max}} = 1.77 \text{ W cm}^{-2}$ ) than the Fe/N/C-SCN owing to fast mass transfer of the thin Pt/C catalyst layer (ca. 10  $\mu\text{m}$ ). How to fabricate thick Fe/N/C catalyst layer (ca. 100  $\mu\text{m}$ ) with fast mass transfer would be the key issue in further study.

Apart from activity, the durability of Fe/N/C is also important for fuel-cell applications. To evaluate the durability, the PEMFC with Fe/N/C-SCN catalyst was operated at 0.50 V for 100 h. The current density decreased from 1.60 to 0.77 A cm<sup>-2</sup> in the initial 10 h, and then gradually declined to 0.45 A cm<sup>-2</sup> at 100 h (Supporting Information, Figure S4). Water flooding has been considered as a reason for instability.<sup>[10]</sup> However, we found that adding some waterproof reagents (for example, dimethyl silicone oil<sup>[11]</sup>) could not improve the durability (Supporting Information, Figure S4). Further work is therefore still required to improve durability.


To understand why Fe/N/C catalyst prepared from Fe(SCN)<sub>3</sub> has better ORR catalytic activity than that from FeCl<sub>3</sub>, we carried out some physical characterizations. Figure 3 compares X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) of Fe/N/C-SCN and Fe/N/C-Cl. Both catalysts contained C, N, Fe, S, and O elements. According to the relative sensitivity factor method,<sup>[12]</sup> weight contents of N, Fe, and S were determined to be 4.4%, 1.4%, and 2.1% for Fe/N/C-SCN, as well as 4.9%, 2.2%, and 0.7% for Fe/N/C-Cl, respectively. In another words, Fe/N/C-SCN contained slightly less N and Fe but three times more S in comparison with Fe/N/C-Cl. High-resolution spectra of N<sub>1s</sub> and Fe<sub>2p</sub> are similar for both catalysts (inset of Figure 3), therefore, the higher ORR catalytic activity of the Fe/N/C-SCN than Fe/N/C-Cl may be correlated with more S doping. As reported previously, the doping of S in



**Figure 3.** XPS of Fe/N/C-SCN (black line) and Fe/N/C-Cl (gray line). Inset: High-resolution spectra of S 2p, N 1s, and Fe 2p.

graphene can change electric structure, which benefits ORR.<sup>[13]</sup>

Along with S doping, a high specific surface area also contributes to high catalytic activity. Figure 4 demonstrates Ar adsorption-desorption isotherms of Fe/N/C-SCN and Fe/N/C-Cl. The Brunauer-Emmett-Teller (BET) surface area of Fe/N/C-SCN is 751 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>, which is significantly larger than



**Figure 4.** Ar adsorption-desorption isotherm of Fe/N/C-SCN and Fe/N/C-Cl. Filled symbols: adsorption; hollow symbols: desorption. Inset: micropore (HK method) and mesopore (BJH method) size distributions.

that of Fe/N/C-Cl (656 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>). Results of *t*-plot analysis show that Fe/N/C-SCN has much larger external surface area (337 vs. 158 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>) but smaller micropore area (414 vs. 498 m<sup>2</sup> g<sup>-1</sup>) than Fe/N/C-Cl. The pore-size distribution was analyzed by the Horvath-Kawazoe (HK) method for micropores (< 2 nm) and the Barret-Joyner-Halenda (BJH) method for mesopores (> 2 nm) (inset to Figure 4). Clearly, Fe/N/C-SCN has more pores of 1.0–4.0 nm than Fe/N/C-Cl, but less micropores of size below 0.6 nm. In the fuel-cell test, the high external surface area and large pore size of the Fe/N/C-SCN can facilitate the accessibility of reactant for ORR.

As for the Fe/N/C, the catalytic activity depends on turnover frequency (TOF), the density of active sites ( $d_{\text{active site}}$ ), and surface area (that is, Activity  $\propto$  TOF  $\times d_{\text{active site}} \times$  Area). When the RDE catalytic activity at 0.80 V was normalized by surface area, Fe/N/C-SCN has higher catalytic activity per BET area (0.031 vs. 0.020 A m<sup>-2</sup>) and per micropore area (0.056 vs. 0.027 A m<sup>-2</sup>) than Fe/N/C-Cl, but slight lower catalytic activity per external surface area than Fe/N/C-Cl (0.068 vs. 0.084 A m<sup>-2</sup>). The S-doping of Fe/N/C-SCN may increase the TOF. Unfortunately, we do not know the density of active sites and where the active sites mainly locate (micropore, or external surface area, or both), so the TOF of the catalysts could not be evaluated experimentally.

In summary, we found that iron salts can greatly influence the ORR performance of pyrolyzed Fe/N/C catalyst, and Fe(SCN)<sub>3</sub> can result in S-doping catalyst with high surface area, thereby leading to high ORR activity. The maximal

power density of PEMFC using as-prepared Fe/N/C-SCN can reach as high as  $1.03 \text{ W cm}^{-2}$ , demonstrating its promising application in PEMFCs.

### Experimental Section

The catalysts were prepared according to a previous method.<sup>[9]</sup> In brief, KJ600 carbon black (2 g) was grafted with sulfophenyl group through reduction of diazonium salt by Fe power. The grafted carbon black was mixed with *m*-phenylenediamine (*m*-PDA, 15 g) and concentrated HCl (36%, 50 mL). To oxidatively polymerize *m*-PDA,  $(\text{NH}_4)_2\text{S}_2\text{O}_8$  (2 M, 140 mL) and  $\text{FeCl}_3$  solution (1 M, 40 mL) were added dropwise. The suspension was filtered and washed to remove inorganic salts including Fe salt. To synthesize Fe/N/C-SCN, the obtained powder (0.3 g) was added into  $\text{Fe}(\text{SCN})_3$  solution that was prepared by mixing  $\text{FeCl}_3$  (1 M, 0.9 mL) with KSCN (1 M, 3 mL). The solvent was then removed and further dried at  $80^\circ\text{C}$  for 12 h. The resulting powder was pyrolyzed at  $950^\circ\text{C}$  in an Ar atmosphere for 1 h. The pyrolyzed sample was subjected to acid leaching in 1 M HCl solution at  $80^\circ\text{C}$  for 8 h followed by centrifugation and water washing. Finally, the obtained powder was further pyrolyzed at  $950^\circ\text{C}$  for 3 h to obtain the final catalyst.

The ORR performance was tested in  $\text{O}_2$ -saturated 0.1 M  $\text{H}_2\text{SO}_4$  solution at  $30^\circ\text{C}$  on a rotating ring-disk electrode (RRDE) system (Pine Inc.) with a CHI-760D bipotentiostat. Rotating rate was 900 rpm. A glassy carbon (GC,  $\phi = 5.61 \text{ mm}$ ) disk-Pt ring RRDE was used. A GC plate and a reversible hydrogen electrode (RHE) were used as counter electrode and reference electrode, respectively. To prepare catalyst ink, the Fe/N/C catalyst (6.0 mg) was ultrasonically dispersed in a mixture of water (0.50 mL), ethanol (0.50 mL) and 5% Nafion (0.05 mL) for 1 h. The 25  $\mu\text{L}$  of ink was pipetted onto the GC disk electrode, resulting in catalyst loading of  $0.6 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$ . The loading of Pt/C (20 wt%) catalyst was  $0.1 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$ . Polarization curves were recorded by potential cycling between 0.2 and 1.0 V at  $10 \text{ mV s}^{-1}$ . Solution ohmic drop (that is,  $iR$  drop) was compensated. Capacitive background current was corrected by subtracting the curve recorded in  $\text{N}_2$ -saturated solution. To determine  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  yield, the ring electrode was held at 1.30 V to oxidize  $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2$  diffused from disk electrode.

Membrane electrode assembly (MEA) was prepared through hot-pressing method. The catalyst "ink" was prepared by ultrasonic dispersion of Fe/N/C catalyst (26 mg) and 5 wt% Nafion solution (600  $\mu\text{L}$ ) into 1.0 mL deionized water for 1 hour. The ink was directly coated on PTFE-pretreated Toray 060 carbon paper as cathode, and no microporous layer was used. The loading of Fe/N/C catalysts was  $4.0 \text{ mg cm}^{-2}$ . The Nafion content in the cathode catalyst layer was about 50 wt%. The anode catalyst is 40 wt% Pt/C with a loading of  $0.4 \text{ mg Pt cm}^{-2}$ . Note that higher Pt content catalyst was used in the fuel cell test than in the RDE test, because it can result in thinner catalyst layer that benefits the mass transfer. Then, the MEA was prepared by hot-pressing cathode, anode, NRE 211 Nafion membrane, and gasket at about 3 MPa for 135 s. The compression was 15–20%. The thickness of cathode catalyst layer was about 100  $\mu\text{m}$ . The active area of MEA was  $1.0 \times 1.0 \text{ cm}^2$ . Fuel cell performance was tested at  $80^\circ\text{C}$  on Model 850e fuel cell test system (Scribner Associates Inc.).  $\text{H}_2$  and  $\text{O}_2$  flow rates were 0.3 slpm at 100% RH for polarization curve measurement, and 0.2 slpm for durability test. A back-pressure of 1 or 2 bar was applied.

XPS measurements were carried out by using an Omicron Sphera II hemispherical electron energy analyzer with Monochromatic

Al K $\alpha$  X-ray source (1486.6 eV, anode operating at 15 kV and 300 W). Ar adsorption/desorption isotherm was tested by ASAP 2020 physisorption analyzer (Micromeritics).

**Keywords:** electrocatalysis · fuel cells · iron · oxygen reduction reaction · sulfur

**How to cite:** *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 9907–9910  
*Angew. Chem.* **2015**, *127*, 10045–10048

- [1] H. A. Gasteiger, S. S. Kocha, B. Sompalli, F. T. Wagner, *Appl. Catal. B* **2005**, *56*, 9.
- [2] a) M. Lefevre, E. Proietti, F. Jaouen, J. P. Dodelet, *Science* **2009**, *324*, 71; b) G. Wu, K. L. More, C. M. Johnston, P. Zelenay, *Science* **2011**, *332*, 443; c) W. Ding, Z. D. Wei, S. G. Chen, X. G. Qi, T. Yang, J. S. Hu, D. Wang, L. J. Wan, S. F. Alvi, L. Li, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 11755; *Angew. Chem.* **2013**, *125*, 11971; d) W. Liang, J. X. Chen, Y. W. Liu, S. L. Chen, *ACS Catal.* **2014**, *4*, 4170.
- [3] R. Jasinski, *Nature* **1964**, *201*, 1212.
- [4] S. Gupta, D. Tryk, I. Bae, W. Aldred, E. Yeager, *J. Appl. Electrochem.* **1989**, *19*, 19.
- [5] a) N. Ramaswamy, U. Tylus, Q. Jia, S. Mukerjee, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 15443; b) Y. G. Li, W. Zhou, H. L. Wang, L. M. Xie, Y. Y. Liang, F. Wei, J. C. Idrobo, S. J. Pennycook, H. J. Dai, *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **2012**, *7*, 394.
- [6] a) Z. L. Li, G. L. Li, L. H. Jiang, J. L. Li, G. Q. Sun, C. G. Xia, F. W. Li, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2015**, *54*, 1494; *Angew. Chem.* **2015**, *127*, 1514; b) H. L. Peng, Z. Y. Mo, S. J. Liao, H. Liang, L. J. Yang, F. Luo, H. Y. Song, Y. L. Zhong, B. Q. Zhang, *Sci. Rep.* **2013**, *3*, 1765; c) F. Jaouen, J. Herranz, M. Lefevre, J. P. Dodelet, U. I. Kramm, I. Herrmann, P. Bogdanoff, J. Maruyama, T. Nagaoka, A. Garsuch, J. R. Dahn, T. Olson, S. Pylypenko, P. Atanassov, E. A. Ustinov, *ACS Appl. Mater. Interfaces* **2009**, *1*, 1623; d) W. H. Niu, X. J. Liu, N. Wang, J. Liu, W. J. Zhou, Z. H. Tang, S. W. Chen, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 5555.
- [7] E. Proietti, F. Jaouen, M. Lefevre, N. Larouche, J. Tian, J. Herranz, J. P. Dodelet, *Nat. Commun.* **2011**, *2*, 416.
- [8] S. W. Yuan, J. L. Shui, L. Grabstanowicz, C. Chen, S. Commet, B. Repogle, T. Xu, L. P. Yu, D. J. Liu, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2013**, *52*, 8349; *Angew. Chem.* **2013**, *125*, 8507.
- [9] Q. Wang, Z. Y. Zhou, Y. J. Lai, Y. You, J. G. Liu, X. L. Wu, E. Terefe, C. Chen, L. Song, M. Rauf, N. Tian, S. G. Sun, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2014**, *136*, 10882.
- [10] F. Jaouen, E. Proietti, M. Lefevre, R. Chenitz, J.-P. Dodelet, G. Wu, H. T. Chung, C. M. Johnston, P. Zelenay, *Energy Environ. Sci.* **2011**, *4*, 114.
- [11] M. B. Ji, Z. D. Wei, S. G. Chen, L. Li, *J. Phys. Chem. C* **2009**, *113*, 765.
- [12] C. D. Wagner, L. E. Davis, M. V. Zeller, J. A. Taylor, R. H. Raymond, L. H. Gale, *Surf. Interface Anal.* **1981**, *3*, 211.
- [13] a) Y. Z. Su, Y. Zhang, X. D. Zhuang, S. Li, D. Q. Wu, F. Zhang, X. L. Feng, *Carbon* **2013**, *62*, 296; b) J. Liang, Y. Jiao, M. Jaroniec, S. Z. Qiao, *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2012**, *51*, 11496; *Angew. Chem.* **2012**, *124*, 11664.

Received: April 6, 2015

Revised: May 25, 2015

Published online: July 3, 2015